

Variables & Parameters

Constant Coefficients

Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Value	Source
C_{diesel}	Diesel consumption coefficient	$\left[\frac{\text{lbs-CO}_2}{\text{gal}}\right]$	23.64	http://www.epa.gov/OMS/climate/420f05001.htm
C_{elec}	Electricity from grid (constant by State; potentially varying by region/zip code)	$\left[\frac{\text{lbs-CO}_2}{\text{kWh}}\right]$	0.54	eGRID2007 Version 1.1 State File (Year 2005 Data) http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-resources/egrid/index.html
C_{gasoline}	Gasoline consumption coefficient (constant)	$\left[\frac{\text{lbs-CO}_2}{\text{gal}}\right]$	20.6	http://www.epa.gov/OMS/climate/420f05001.htm
C_{propane}	Propane fuel (including other fuel oils) consumption (constant)	$\left[\frac{\text{lbs-CO}_2}{\text{MMBTU}}\right]$	139.2	http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/coefficients.html
C_{NG}	Natural gas fuel consumption	$\left[\frac{\text{lbs-CO}_2}{\text{MMBTU}}\right]$	117.1	http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/coefficients.html
C_{pot-wat}	Potable water usage coefficient	$\left[\frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{MG}}\right]$	3950	California Energy Commission, California's Water-Energy Relationship, final staff report, 2005 (pg. 11) http://sfwater.org/detail.cfm/MC_ID/21/MSC_ID/156/C_ID/4621 (All water used was assumed to be potable water.)
FE_{geo,bor}	GeoProbe fuel economy (50 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{hr}}\right]$	2.6	Consistent with engineering estimate of 1-3 gal/hr Mike Casey Sonic Sampling, Richard Gotsch of CMECo (5/13/2009), & Margaret Haugen of Their $FE_{rot} = \frac{50[\text{hp}] \frac{2545 \left[\frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{hr}}\right]}{1[\text{hp}]}}{138,000 \left[\frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{gal}_{diesel}}\right] 0.35\%} = 2.6 \left[\frac{\text{gal}_{diesel}}{\text{hr}}\right]$
FE_{rot}	Air or Mud rotary fuel economy (100 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{hr}}\right]$	5.3	$FE_{rot} = \frac{100[\text{hp}] \frac{2545 \left[\frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{hr}}\right]}{1[\text{hp}]}}{138,000 \left[\frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{gal}_{diesel}}\right] 0.35\%} = 5.3 \left[\frac{\text{gal}_{diesel}}{\text{hr}}\right]$
FE_{mon}	Monitoring well drilling machine fuel economy (25 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{hr}}\right]$	1.3	$FE_{rot} = \frac{25[\text{hp}] \frac{2545 \left[\frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{hr}}\right]}{1[\text{hp}]}}{138,000 \left[\frac{\text{BTU}}{\text{gal}_{diesel}}\right] 0.35\%} = 1.3 \left[\frac{\text{gal}_{diesel}}{\text{hr}}\right]$

Variables & Parameters

Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Value	Source
FE_{pilot}	Pilot or recovery well fuel economy (100 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	5.3	$FE_{rot} = \frac{100[hp] \frac{2545 \left[\frac{BTU}{hr}\right]}{1[hp]}}{138,000 \left[\frac{BTU}{gal_{diesel}}\right] 0.35\%} = 5.3 \left[\frac{gal_{diesel}}{hr}\right]$
FE_{rec}	Pilot or recovery well fuel economy (100 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	5.3	$FE_{rot} = \frac{100[hp] \frac{2545 \left[\frac{BTU}{hr}\right]}{1[hp]}}{138,000 \left[\frac{BTU}{gal_{diesel}}\right] 0.35\%} = 5.3 \left[\frac{gal_{diesel}}{hr}\right]$
FE_{FEL}	Front end loader fuel economy (100 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	5.3	$FE_{rot} = \frac{100[hp] \frac{2545 \left[\frac{BTU}{hr}\right]}{1[hp]}}{138,000 \left[\frac{BTU}{gal_{diesel}}\right] 0.35\%} = 5.3 \left[\frac{gal_{diesel}}{hr}\right]$
FE_{borehole}	Borehole clearance fuel efficiency (50 hp engine at 35% efficiency)	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	2.6	50 hp is the upper range of air knifing blower sizes (www.sonicairsystems.com)
FE_{hybrid}	Fuel efficiency of a hybrid	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	45	http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/FEG2009.pdf
FE_{econ}	Fuel efficiency of an economy	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	34	http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/FEG2009.pdf
FE_{sedan}	Fuel efficiency of a sedan	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	27	http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/FEG2009.pdf
FE_{van}	Fuel efficiency of a van	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18	http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/FEG2009.pdf
FE_{pick-up}	Fuel efficiency of a pick-up truck	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18	http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/FEG2009.pdf
FE_{semi}	Semi-truck or Dump-truck fuel economy	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	6	http://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/FEG2009.pdf

Variables & Parameters

Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Value	Source
				<p>METHOD 1: Ranges from 0.071 to 0.08 gal-diesel/kWh http://www.dieselserviceandsupply.com/Diesel_Fuel_Consumption.aspx</p> <p>This electricity generation range is reported to include generator inefficiencies and assumes full-load operation.</p> $PE_{gen-diesel} = 0.076 \left[\frac{gal}{kWh} \right] \cdot 22.2 \left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{gal} \right] \cdot 293 \left[\frac{kWh}{mmBTU} \right]$ $= 494 \left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{mmBTU} \right]$ <p>METHOD 2: (Number validation) Additionally, on average diesel engines are about 35% efficient (NOTE: thermodynamic limit for steel engines is 37%) --></p> $PE_{gen-diesel} = \frac{22.2 \left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{gal} \right]}{0.138 \left[\frac{mmBTU}{gal} \right] \cdot 0.35} = 460 \left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{mmBTU} \right]$
$PE_{gen-diesel}$	Diesel Generator	$\left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{MMBTU} \right]$	460	<p>http://www.lpgasmagazine.com/lpgas/Technology/A-Deere-of-a-propane-engine/ArticleStandard/Article/detail/24913 http://www.dieselserviceandsupply.com/Diesel_Fuel_Consumption.aspx</p>
$PE_{gen-propane}$	Propane Generator	$\left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{MMBTU} \right]$	398	<p>Propane generators are approximately 35% efficient (NOTE: thermodynamic limit for steel engines is 37%) http://www.naturalgas.org/overview/uses_eletrical.asp</p>
PE_{gen-NG}	Natural Gas Generator	$\left[\frac{lbs - CO_2}{MMBTU} \right]$	335	<p>Propane generators are approximately 35% efficient (NOTE: thermodynamic limit for steel engines is 37%)</p>
PE_{pump}	Power efficiency of free-product pump	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr} \right]$	0.08	$PE_{pump} = \frac{1.5[hp] \cdot 2545 \left[\frac{BTU}{hr \cdot hp} \right]}{138,000 \left[\frac{BTU}{gal} \right] \cdot 0.35} = 0.08 \left[\frac{gal}{hr} \right]$ <p>(approximate efficiency of a steel IC engine)</p> <p>Pump design equation: Q (gal/min)=3960*WHP (h.p.)/H(ft), where WHP was assumed to be 1.5 h.p. and H was assumed to be 20 ft.</p>
PE_{skid}	Skid-mounted power efficiency	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr} \right]$	1.11	$PE_{skid} = \frac{21[hp] \cdot 2545 \left[\frac{BTU}{hr \cdot hp} \right]}{138,000 \left[\frac{BTU}{gal} \right] \cdot 0.35} = 1.11 \left[\frac{gal}{hr} \right]$ <p>Assumed average efficiency of a steel combustion engine to be 35% and a total of approximately 21 hp of engines within the system – one 10 hp extraction pump, three 2.0 hp process pumps, and one 5.0 hp air stripper.</p>

Variables & Parameters

Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Value	Source
PE_{VAC}	Vac truck power efficiency	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	2.63	Engineering Estimate
$PE_{trailer}$	Trailer power efficiency	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	1.11	$PE_{skid} = \frac{21[hp] \cdot 2545 \left[\frac{BTU}{hr \cdot hp}\right]}{138,000 \left[\frac{BTU}{gal}\right] \cdot 0.35} = 1.11 \left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$ <p>Assumed average efficiency of a steel combustion engine to be 35% and a total of approximately 21 hp of engines within the system – one 10 hp extraction pump, three 2.0 hp process pumps, and one 5.0 hp air stripper</p>
$PE_{GAC,water}$	GAC groundwater treatment	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{year}\right]$	279	Assume one 5 hp transfer pump and one 7.5 hp steam generator 1 hp = 746 W --> 8760 hours/year --> operating 1 hp requires 6535 kWh annually (assuming 24/7 operation) --> 12.5 hp --> 81687.5 kWh/yr × 0.003412 mmBTU/kWh = 279 mmBTU/year
PE_{GAC}	GAC off-gas treatment	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{year}\right]$	111	Assume 5 hp blower 1 hp = 746 W --> 8760 hours/year --> operating 1 hp requires 6535 kWh annually (assuming 24/7 operation) --> 5 hp --> 32675 kWh/yr × 0.003412 mmBTU/kWh = 111 mmBTU/year
$PE_{GAC-regen}$	GAC regeneration	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{lb}\right]$	0.059	Virgin GAC is assumed to cost \$1.50 per lb. Regenerated GAC costs 25% less than virgin GAC. Energy cost is estimated at \$0.065/kWh. Assume the entire cost of regenerated GAC is associated with energy usage. --> \$1.50 /lb * 0.75 / \$0.065/kWh * 0.003412 mmBTU/kWh = 0.059 mmBTU/lb
PE_{blower}	Off-gas blower	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{year}\right]$	111	Assume 5 hp blower 1 hp = 746 W --> 8760 hours/year --> operating 1 hp requires 6535 kWh annually (assuming 24/7 operation) --> 5 hp --> 32675 kWh/yr × 0.003412 mmBTU/kWh = 111 mmBTU/year
$\Delta T_{ThermOx}$	ThermOx off-gas treatment	[°F]	1330	Off-Gas Treatment Technologies for Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: State of the Practice; EPA-542-R-05-028; March 2006
ΔT_{CatOx}	CatOx off-gas treatment	[°F]	730	Off-Gas Treatment Technologies for Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: State of the Practice; EPA-542-R-05-028; March 2006
Cp_{air}	Heat Capacity of air	$\left[\frac{BTU}{lbs^{\circ}F}\right]$	0.24	www.Engineeringtoolbox.com
$\rho_{air-70F}$	Density of air	$\left[\frac{lb}{ft^3}\right]$	0.075	www.Engineeringtoolbox.com

Variables & Parameters

Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Value	Source
PE_{Therm}	Low-Temp Thermal Desorption	$\left[\frac{kWh}{ton}\right]$	443	<p>It was reported that LTTD costs about \$30-\$70 per ton of soil, excluding transportation and excavation costs.</p> <p>The process includes a rotary dryer, asphalt plant aggregate dryer, a thermal screw, and a conveyor furnace</p> <p>The average value of \$50/ton and assume all costs are associated with electrical consumption at ~\$0.10 per kWh. In addition, this value falls within Mike Fetherling's (Midwest Soil) estimate of 0.69 to 2.99 mmBTU per ton with an average of 1.51 mmBTU/ton (443 kWh/ton). http://www.epa.gov/OUST/cat/LTTD.HTM#rotary</p>
$E_{enclosure}$	Enclosure energy consumption	$\left[\frac{kWh}{ft^2}\right]$	8.2	<p>2003 estimate of 8.2 kWh per square foot of "service" building (EIA, Table C21, 2006)</p> <p>http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/consumptionbriefs/cbecs/pbawebsite/office/howuseelec.htm</p>
$R_{geo, bor}$	GeoProbe drilling rate	$\left[\frac{ft}{day}\right]$	100	<p>Geoprobe = 100-150 ft/day for a 1" well HAS = 75-100 ft/day for a 2" well</p> <p>Engineering estimate (Mike Casey Sonic Sampling & Margaret Haugen of Thein)</p>
R_{rot}	Air or Mud rotary drilling rate	$\left[\frac{ft}{day}\right]$	50	<p>Aerotary/mudrotary = 50 ft/day for a 2" well</p>
R_{mon}	Monitoring well drilling rate	$\left[\frac{ft}{day}\right]$	75	<p>Geoprobe = 100-150 ft/day for a 1" well HAS = 75-100 ft/day for a 2" well</p> <p>Engineering estimate (Mike Casey Sonic Sampling & Margaret Haugen of Thein)</p>
R_{pilot}	Pilot test well drilling rate	$\left[\frac{ft}{day}\right]$	75	<p>Geoprobe = 100-150 ft/day for a 1" well HAS = 75-100 ft/day for a 2" well</p> <p>Engineering estimate (Mike Casey Sonic Sampling & Margaret Haugen of Thein)</p>
R_{rec}	Recovery well drilling rate	$\left[\frac{ft}{day}\right]$	75	<p>Geoprobe = 100-150 ft/day for a 1" well HAS = 75-100 ft/day for a 2" well</p> <p>Engineering estimate (Mike Casey Sonic Sampling & Margaret Haugen of Thein)</p>
R_{aband}	Well over-drilling rate	$\left[\frac{ft}{day}\right]$	200	<p>Engineering estimate (Mike Casey Sonic Sampling & Margaret Haugen of Thein)</p>

Variables & Parameters

Variables Calculated by User Inputs

Technology	Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Default Value
Excavation	PEexc,pilot	Size of Excavator in Pilot Study	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	0
Excavation	tpilot,exc	Duration of Excavation Pilot Study	[hrs]	8
Excavation	PEexc	Size of Excavator during Operations	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	3.95
Excavation	nexc	Number of Excavators used during Operations	-	1
Excavation	psoil	COMPUTED from SoilType,exc selection that computes the Level of Effort (LOE)	$\left[\frac{tons}{yd^3}\right]$	1.3
Excavation	soilmass	Mass of the soil. $\left(\frac{A_{soil}[ft^2]D_{soil}[ft]}{27\left[\frac{ft^3}{yd^3}\right]}\right)\rho_{soil}\left[\frac{tons}{yd^3}\right]$	[tons]	241
Excavation	nsemi	Number of semitrucks used during excavation operations: $\frac{soilmass}{15}$	-	16
Excavation	Qpotable,exc	Amount of water needed for dust suppression. $soilmass[ton] \cdot 50\left[\frac{gal}{ton}\right]$	[gal]	12037
Excavation	toperate,exc	Duration of Excavation: $\frac{n_{boring}C_{boring}h_{boring}}{R_{geo,bor}} + \frac{n_{mon,exc}C_{mon,exc}h_{mon,exc}}{R_{mon}} + \frac{n_{semi}}{10}$	[days]	2.85
Excavation	voperate,exc	Frequency of personnel visits during system operation	$\left[\frac{1}{day}\right]$	1
SVE	B,sve	Electricity use in the enclosure, if any, for remediation system: B = 0 - no B = 1 - yes	-	1
SVE	Aenclosure,sve	Area of enclosure for remediation system	[ft ²]	300

Variables & Parameters

SVE	nGAC,sve	Number of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Vessels	-	2
SVE	GAClbs,sve	Size of each GAC vessel - If system energy use (PEi,operationsve) is $< 390 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then GAClbs,sve = 500 lbs $= 390$ thru $613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then GAClbs,sve = 1000 lbs $\geq 613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then GAClbs,sve = 2000 lbs	[lbs]	500
SVE	GAC%,sve	Percent of treatment that uses GAC. $GAC\%,sve = 1 - ThermOXpct,sve - CatOXpct,sve$	%	100
SVE	fGAC,sve	GAC Regeneration Frequency	$\left[\frac{1}{year} \right]$	3.5
SVE	vdelivery,sve	Frequency of semi-truck deliveries to site during operation	$\left[\frac{1}{month} \right]$	5
SVE	Foff-gastherm,sve	Thermal oxidation off-gas flowrate - If system energy use (PEi,operationsve) is $< 390 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then Foff-gastherm,sve = 100 cfm $= 390$ thru $613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then Foff-gastherm,sve = 200 cfm $\geq 613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then Foff-gastherm,sve = 500 cfm	[cfm]	100
SVE	Foff-gascat,sve	Catalytic oxidation off-gas flowrate - If system energy use (PEi,operationsve) is $< 390 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then Foff-gascat,sve = 100 cfm $= 390$ thru $613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then Foff-gascat,sve = 200 cfm $\geq 613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then Foff-gascat,sve = 500 cfm	[cfm]	100
P&T	Qpotable,sve	Potable water use in million gallons per year	[MGY]	2.6
P&T	FEYI,sve	Fuel efficiency of a fork lift	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr} \right]$	6.6
P&T	B,pt	Electricity use in the enclosure, if any, for remediation system: B = 0 - no B = 1 - yes	-	1
P&T	Aenclosure,pt	Area of enclosure for remediation system	[ft ²]	300
P&T	nGAC,waterpt	Number of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Vessels	-	4
P&T	GAClbs,waterpt	Size of each GAC vessel - If system energy use (PEi,operationpt) is $< 390 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then GAClbs,waterpt = 500 lbs $= 390$ thru $613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then GAClbs,waterpt = 1000 lbs $\geq 613 \frac{MMBTU}{year}$, then GAClbs,waterpt = 2000 lbs	[lbs]	0

Variables & Parameters

P&T	GAC%,waterpt	Percent of treatment that uses GAC.	%	100
P&T	fGAC,waterpt	GAC Regeneration Frequency	$\left[\frac{1}{\text{year}}\right]$	3.5
P&T	vdeliverypt	Frequency of semi-truck deliveries to site during operation	$\left[\frac{1}{\text{year}}\right]$	5
P&T	Qpotable,pt	Potable water use in million gallons per year	[MGY]	2.6
P&T	FEYI,pt	Fuel efficiency of a fork lift	$\left[\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{hr}}\right]$	6.6
MPE	B	Electricity use in the enclosure, if any, for remediation system: B = 0 - no B = 1 - yes	-	1
MPE	Aenclosure	Area of enclosure for remediation system	[ft ²]	300
MPE	nGAC	Number of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Vessels	-	2
MPE	GAClbs	Size of each GAC vessel - If system energy use (PEi,operation) is <390 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then GAClbs = 500 lbs = 390 thru 613 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then GAClbs = 1000 lbs >= 613 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then GAClbs = 2000 lbs	[lbs]	1000
MPE	GAC%	Percent of treatment that uses GAC. GAC% = 1 - ThermOXpct - CatOXpct	%	100
MPE	fGAC	GAC Regeneration Frequency	$\left[\frac{1}{\text{year}}\right]$	3.5
MPE	vdelivery	Frequency of semi-truck deliveries to site during operation	$\left[\frac{1}{\text{year}}\right]$	5
MPE	Foff-gastherm	Thermal oxidation off-gas flowrate - If system energy use (PEi,operation) is < 390 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then Foff-gastherm = 100 cfm = 390 thru 613 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then Foff-gastherm = 200 cfm >= 613 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then Foff-gastherm = 500 cfm	[cfm]	200
MPE	Foff-gascat	Catalytic oxidation off-gas flowrate – If system energy use (PEi,operation) is < 390 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then Foff-gascat = 100 cfm = 390 thru 613 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$, then Foff-gascat = 200 cfm >= 613 $\frac{\text{MMBTU}}{\text{year}}$ then Foff-gascat = 500 cfm	[cfm]	200

Variables & Parameters

MPE	nGAC,wat	Number of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Vessels	-	2
MPE	GAClbs,water	Size of each GAC vessel	[lbs]	1000
MPE	GAC%,water	Percent of treatment that uses GAC.	%	100
MPE	fGAC,water	GAC Regeneration Frequency	$\left[\frac{1}{year}\right]$	3.5
MPE	Qpotable	Potable water use in million gallons per year	[MGY]	2.6
MPE	FEYI,mpe	Fuel efficiency of a fork lift	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	6.6

Variables Entered by User

Technology	Term	Description	Unit of Measure	Default Value
General Assessment	ddelivery	Distance to site for deliveries	[miles]	37.5
General Assessment	nexist	Number of existing wells, constructed prior to current remediation project	-	5
General Assessment	hexist	Depth of existing wells	[ft]	75
General Assessment	Vass	Frequency of personnel visits during site assessment	$\left[\frac{1}{day}\right]$	2
General Assessment	ngeo,ass	Number of geoProbe borings	-	5
General Assessment	Cgeo,ass	GeoProbe boring diameter	[in]	2
General Assessment	hgeo,ass	Well depth	[ft]	25
General Assessment	nmon, ass	Number of wells for assessment	-	5
General Assessment	Cmon,ass	Well/boring Diameter	[in]	2
General Assessment	hmon,ass	Monitoring well depth	[ft]	25
General Assessment	LOE	Level of Effort (LOE) Coefficient	-	0.75

Variables & Parameters

General Assessment	tass	$t_{ass} = \frac{n_{geo,ass} C_{geo,ass} h_{geo,ass} LOE}{R_{geo,bor}} + \frac{n_{mon,ass} C_{mon,ass} h_{mon,ass} LOE}{R_{mon}} + 1$	[days]	3
General Assessment	dstaff	Distance to site for the personnel visits	[miles]	37.5
General Assessment	FEstaff,ass	Fuel efficiency of staff vehicle used during site assessment	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18.01
General Assessment	Calt,ass	Alternative Transport Percent Use	%	100
Excavation	ntest-pits	Number of excavation test pits	-	1
Excavation	nboring	Number of borings	-	5
Excavation	Cboring	Well/boring Diameter	[in]	2
Excavation	hboring	Boring Depth	[ft]	25
Excavation	nmon,exc	Number of monitoring wells	-	5
Excavation	hmon,exc	Monitoring Well Depth	[ft]	0
Excavation	Asoil	Excavation Area	[ft ²]	500
Excavation	Dsoil	Excavation Depth	[ft]	10
Excavation	FEstaff,exc	Fuel efficiency of staff vehicle used during operations	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18.01
Excavation	Calt,exc	Alternative Transport Percent Use	%	100
Excavation	Cover-drill,exc	Percent of monitoring wells that are over-drilled during abandonment	%	100
SVE	PEsve,pilot	Pilot Study Equipment Fuel Efficiency	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	1.11
SVE	tpilot,sve	Pilot Study Duration for Soil Vapor Extraction	[days]	4
SVE	nbor,sve	Number of borings	-	25
SVE	Cbor,sve	Boring diameter	[in]	1
SVE	hbor,sve	Boring depth	[ft]	2.5
SVE	nmon,sve	Number of monitoring wells	-	5

Variables & Parameters

SVE	Cmon,sve	Well diameter	[in]	2
SVE	hmon,sve	Dept of monitoring wells	[ft]	25
SVE	nrec,sve	Number of recovery wells	-	5
SVE	Crec,sve	Diameter of recovery wells	[in]	4
SVE	hrec,sve	Depth of recovery wells	[ft]	25
SVE	PEi,operationsve	Annual energy use from SVE equipment	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{year}\right]$	279
SVE	Ci,operationsve	Carbon content of power source	$\left[\frac{lbs-CO_2}{MMBTU}\right]$	158
SVE	Ci,supplimentsve	Percent use of alternative electricity	%	0
SVE	toperate,sve	Months of operation	[months]	36
SVE	ThermOx%,sve	Percent of treatment using Thermal Oxidation	%	0
SVE	CatOx%,sve	Percent of treatment using Chemical Oxidation	%	0
SVE	Voperate,sve	Frequency of personnel visits during system operation	$\left[\frac{1}{month}\right]$	2
SVE	FEstaff,sve	Fuel efficiency of personnel vehicle	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18.01
SVE	Calt,sve	Alternative Transport Percent Use	%	100
SVE	tYI,sve	Yellow Iron Operation Duration (Abandonment)	[days]	3.5
SVE	Cover-drill,sve	Percent of wells that are over-drilled during abandonment	%	100
P&T	PEpt,pilot	Fuel efficiency of Pilot Study Equipment	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	1.11
P&T	tpilot,pt	Pilot Study Duration for Pump and Treat	[days]	4
P&T	nbor,pt	Number of borings for pump and treat	-	2.5
P&T	Cbor,pt	Boring Diameter	[in]	2
P&T	hbor,pt	Boring depth	[ft]	25
P&T	nmon,pt	Number of monitoring wells	-	5

Variables & Parameters

P&T	Cmon,pt	Monitoring well diameter	[in]	2
P&T	hmon,pt	Monitoring well depth	[ft]	25
P&T	nrec,pt	Number of recovery wells	-	5
P&T	Crec,pt	recovery well diameter	[in]	6
P&T	hrec,pt	Recovery well depth	[ft]	75
P&T	PEi,operationpt	Annual energy use from pump and treat equipment	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{year}\right]$	279
P&T	Ci,operationpt	Carbon content of power source	$\left[\frac{lbs-CO_2}{MMBTU}\right]$	158
P&T	Ci,supplimentpt	Alternative Electricity Percent Use	%	0
P&T	toperate,pt	Months of operation	[months]	72
P&T	Voperate,pt	Frequency of personnel visits during system operation	$\left[\frac{1}{month}\right]$	2
P&T	FEstaff,pt	Fuel efficiency of personnel vehicle	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18.01
P&T	Calt,pt	Alternative Transport percent Use	%	100
P&T	tYI,pt	Yellow Iron Operation Duration (Abandonment)	[days]	3.5
P&T	Cover-drill,pt	Percent of wells that are over-drilled during abandonment	%	100
MPE	PEi,pilot	Fuel Efficiency of Pilot Study Equipment	$\left[\frac{gal}{hr}\right]$	1.11
MPE	tpilot	Pilot Study Duration for Multi-Phase Extraction	[days]	4
MPE	nbor	Number of borings	-	5
MPE	Cbor	Boring diameter	[in]	2
MPE	hbor	Boring depth	[ft]	75
MPE	nmon,mpe	Number of monitoring wells	-	5
MPE	Cmon,mpe	Monitoring well diameter	[in]	2
MPE	hmon,mpe	Monitoring well depth	[ft]	25

Variables & Parameters

MPE	nrec	Number of recovery wells	-	5
MPE	Crec	Recovery well diameter	[in]	4
MPE	hrec	Recovery well depth	[ft]	25
MPE	PEi,operation	Annual energy use from MPE equipment	$\left[\frac{MMBTU}{year}\right]$	502
MPE	Ci,operation	Carbon content of power source	$\left[\frac{lbs-CO_2}{MMBTU}\right]$	158
MPE	Ci,suppliment	Alternative Electricity Percent Use	%	0
MPE	toperate,mpe	Months of operation	[months]	36
MPE	ThermOx%	Percent of treatment using thermal oxidation	%	0
MPE	CatOx%	Percent of treatment using Chemical Oxidation	%	0
MPE	Voperate	Frequency of personnel visits during system operation	$\left[\frac{1}{month}\right]$	2
MPE	Festaff,mpe	Fuel efficiency of personnel vehicle	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18.01
MPE	Calt,mpe	Alternative Transport Percent Use	%	100
MPE	tYI,mpe	Yellow Iron Operation Duration (Abandonment)	[days]	3.5
MPE	Cover-drill,mpe	Percent of wells that are over-drilled during abandonment	%	100
MNA	ngeo,pilot	Number of geoProbe borings	-	5
MNA	Cgeo,pilot	GeoProbe boring diameter	[in]	2
MNA	hgeo,pilot	GeoProbe boring depth	[ft]	75
MNA	nmon,pilot	Number of monitoring wells	-	5
MNA	Cmon,pilot	Monitoring well diameter	[in]	2
MNA	hmon,pilot	Monitoring well depth	[ft]	75
MNA	toperate,mna	Months of operation for Monitored Natural Attenuation	[months]	36
MNA	voperate,mna	Frequency of personnel visits during system operation	$\left[\frac{1}{year}\right]$	2

Variables & Parameters

MNA	FEstaff,mna	Fuel efficiency of personnel vehicle	$\left[\frac{mi}{gal}\right]$	18.01
MNA	Calt,mna	Alternative Transport Percent Use	%	100
MNA	Coverdrill,mna	Alternative Transport Percent Use	%	100